SHORT TERM TRAVEL TIME PREDICTION USING CUMULATIVE TRAFFIC COUNTS AND UPLINK INFORMATION COLLECTED ON EXPRESSWAYS
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ABSTRACT
This paper proposes a methodology to predict travel time in short term using uplink information of equipped vehicles. The prediction is based on a simple queuing model, of which traffic conditions are defined with two cumulative traffic flow curve at upstream and downstream ends of roads. The downstream cumulative curve is drawn with traffic counter, and the upstream curve, as the imaginary cumulative flow curve, is derived by horizontally shifting the downstream curve with the section travel time. Both cumulative curves can be predicted by using historical data. A couple of methods to predict cumulative curve are proposed in the paper. The performance of proposed prediction methods are validated through the experiments with observed data, which is collected on Keiyo-Road in Chiba.

INTRODUCTION
This research develops a methodology to predict travel time in short term using uplink information of equipped vehicles. Travel information system on the expressways in Japan now provides travel times of major designated sections at every five minutes based on detector speed information. The travel times are so-called ‘instantaneous’ travel time that are simple accumulations of the travel times of ‘unit sections’ at the present time and are provided to drivers through variable message signs (VMSs).
Travel times of a unit section, which is covered by one detector, are estimated by assuming uniform travel speed measured by the detector. However, the travel times may include some error against the actual travel time, because the traffic conditions of the unit section may not be uniform in real.

Nowadays, the ETC (electronic toll collection system) was installed on the expressway in Japan. If the issues on privacy are solved, we may expect to utilize uplink information to identify individual vehicle for the purpose of the road administration. It must be more accurate to measure the section travel times with uplink information than the detector-based ones.

We have, so far, examined the advantages of uplink-based travel times over detector-based travel times [1] and concluded that uplink-based travel times are much robust for the sizes of unit sections than the detector-based travel times. For example, through the experiment on Keiyo-Road, the uplink-based travel times with a long unit section of which length is 13km will be accurate as much as the detector-based travel time with unit sections of 3km.

It is, however, pointed out that instantaneous travel times are another problems. It will differ from the actual travel time when the traffic congestion is changing the status of itself. There is some time lag between the times when a driver receives information at the upstream end of subjective section and when he/she reaches the tail of the congestion section. During the time lag, the traffic condition may not be the same as the previous status, on which the instantaneous travel time provided to the driver is based. This difference is coming from the intrinsic nature of instantaneous travel time and may not be removed unless the predicted travel times are provided to the driver at the upstream end.
In this paper, we will introduce a basic concept of travel time prediction at first. The prediction is based on simple queuing model, of which the status is determined by two cumulative traffic flow curve at upstream and downstream ends. The downstream cumulative curve is drawn with traffic counter, and the upstream curve, as the imaginary cumulative flow curve, is derived by horizontally shifting the downstream curve with the section travel time. Both cumulative curves can be predicted by using historical data. The detail of the prediction methodology is described at second. A couple of methods to predict cumulative curve is proposed in the following chapters. Then the performance of proposed prediction methods are validated through the experiments with observed data, which is collected on Keiyo-Road in Chiba. At the end of this paper, we will conclude the commendable prediction method and will state the future scope.

**PROPOSED METHODOLOGIES OF PREDICTION**

**OUTLINE OF THE PREDICTION BASED ON QUEUING MODEL**

The idea of travel time prediction [2] is based on queuing model, of which status is determined by two cumulative flow curves, the arrival curve $A = \{ A_i \mid i = 0, 1, ... \}$ at the upstream section and the departure curve $D = \{ D_i \mid i = 0, 1, ... \}$ at the downstream section, as shown in Figure 3. Assuming flow conservation row, the vertical distance $N_j$ of two curves means the number of vehicles existing in-between two sections at time $t_j$. Under first-in-first-out principle, the horizontal distance $T_j$ means the section travel time of which the vehicle arriving at the upstream section at time $t_j$ will take. Similarly, $T'_k$, which is equal to $T_p$, is denoted as the travel time of which the vehicle leaving out of this section at time $t_k$ has taken. In this paper, let us call $T_j$ as ‘arrival-based’ travel time to be predicted. On the other hand, $T'_j$ is called ‘departure-based’ travel time, which can be measured at every time period.

Simple way to obtain two cumulative flow curves is to install traffic counters at both upstream and downstream ends. It is, however, difficult to precisely estimate section travel time because it is hard to adjust vertical levels of two ‘independent’ cumulative curves. When there are some resource or sink of traffic flow within the section, the flow conservation row will not observed any more; i.e. $N_j$ is not equal to $(A_j - D_j)$. Even though there are no
resources or sinks, it is often the case that some errors may be included in each traffic count data. The flow conservation row may not be always guaranteed with the real world data.

Instead of using traffic counters at both ends, let us premise the sensor configuration as shown in Figure 4. The sensor installed at upstream end works as a beacon that collects uplink information to identify individual vehicle. The downstream sensor has the function of a traffic counter and a beacon to collect uplink information, while the upstream sensor has only the function of beacon. We may know the travel time of individual vehicle by matching uplink information at these two sections.

With this sensor configuration, we may generate 'imaginary' cumulative flow curve of the upstream section with the subsequent procedure illustrated in Figure 5.

i) Plot the current value of the cumulative departure traffic count $D_k$ at the downstream section. Here, $t_k$ means the present time.

ii) Measure the ‘departure-based’ travel time $T'_k$ by the recent uplink pairs.

Figure 3: Queuing status described by two cumulative curves.

Figure 4: Sensor configuration assumed in this research.

Figure 5: Subsequent procedure illustrated in Figure 4.
iii) Shift $D_k$, the current point of $D$ to the left (= past) by $T'_k$, and obtain the latest point on 'imaginary' arrival curve $A'_k$. Remember that $A'_k$ represents not the present data but the same past data.

iv) Repeat i) - iii) for $m$ times, where $m$ means the number of data used for the prediction method explained later. Then the imaginary arrival curve $A' = \{A'_i \mid i = k, k-1, ..., k-m+1\}$ is obtained.

Figure 5: Generation of imaginary arrival cumulative curve at upstream end.

Once the imaginary arrival cumulative curve $A'$ is obtained, we may predict ‘arrival-based’ travel time $T_k$ by extending two cumulative curves. The procedure of the prediction is described below (Figure 6).

Figure 6: Travel time prediction based on the prediction of two cumulative flow curves.

i) Since $A'$ was estimated at the past time ($t_k - T'_k$), extend $A'$ to the present time $t_k$ with some time-series prediction technique, and obtain the predicted cumulative vehicle
count \( A_k \) at the upstream end.

ii) Then, extend \( D \) up to the same level of cumulative vehicle count \( A_k \) with the same prediction method.

iii) The horizontal distance of two predicted cumulative curve can be regarded as the predicted ‘arrival-based’ travel time \( T_k \) at the present.

**METHODOLOGIES TO PREDICT TIME SERIES DATA**

There are some available methods to be applied for the prediction of cumulative flow curves. In this research, the following three methods are evaluated of their prediction performance.

**AUTO-REGRESSION (AR) MODEL**

AR model predicts the future value of traffic flow \( q_1 \) from the past time series \( \{ q_0, q_{-1}, \ldots q_{m+1}, \} \) with the following linear summation function.

\[
q_1 = a_0 q_0 + a_1 q_{-1} + \ldots + a_{m-1} q_{-m+1}
\]  

(eq.1)

Here, \( \{ a_i \mid i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1 \} \) are AR-coefficients to be estimated by, for instance, Levinson's algorithm. The number of data \( m \) is to be determined so as to well approximate the future value.

**HISTORICAL PATTERN MATCHING**

This method uses the most "similar" historical traffic flow data in place of today's future traffic flow data. The similarity is evaluated with the distance between the two vectors, today's observed traffic flow data and past flow data, as shown in Figure 7.

**TEMPLATE PATTERN OF DAILY TRAFFIC FLOW + AR MODEL**

This method applies AR-model to the differential data that subtracts "template" traffic flow pattern from today's traffic flow, as shown in Figure 8. The template flow pattern is prepared for each day type, e.g. weekdays and holidays, by calculating the average of historical traffic flow data.
EXPERIMENT OF PREDICTION WITH OBSERVED DATA

In order to validate the proposed methods, the proposed methods are applied to the observed data collected on Keiyo-Road in Chiba Prefecture. The length of the subjective section where the travel times provided is approximately 13km, from Chiba-nishi toll barrier (TB) to Funabashi TB. The detector data at Funabashi TB and the uplinks of ETC equipped vehicles from Chiba-nishi TB to Funabashi TB are collected for about 1 month. During this period, the portion of the vehicles with on-board ETC equipments was 6% to the total throughput traffic volume.

The result of some questionnaire survey says that the most of drivers with popular trip distance may accept the error of predicted travel time within plus/minus 10 minutes. Therefore, as shown in Table 1, the performance of each prediction method is evaluated in terms of ‘hitting ratio’, which means the expectation whether the difference between the predicted travel time and the ‘arrival-based’ travel time may be less than the allowable time, 5 minutes and 10 minutes here. As a reference, the performances of the detector based travel time are included in the table, which accumulates each travel time of 1km unit sections estimated with detector speed and is currently provided on Keiyo-Road.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of unit section</th>
<th>Light - heavy congestion</th>
<th>Medium - heavy congestion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>within 5min.</td>
<td>within 10min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) AR Model</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Pattern Matching</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Template Pattern + AR Model</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Reference) Detector based travel time.</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is found that “(c) Template Pattern + AR Model” method are the best among the other
methods. Figure 9 illustrates the predicted traffic flows with the method (c). The prediction performance of traffic flow affects on the preciseness of the predicted travel time. We may confirm the method (c) reasonably predicts the future traffic flows in the range forward 5 - 60 minutes.

Even though the prediction method use only two sensors at the upstream and the downstream sections, the hitting ratio is better than "Detector-based travel time", which uses 13 detectors. We may expect to reduce the construction and the maintenance cost of ATIS by using uplink information and prediction methodology, while keeping the quality of the provided travel time information.

CONCLUSION

This paper proposed the methodology to predict travel time in short term using uplink information of equipped vehicles and traffic volume collected on Expressways. A couple of methods to predict travel times are proposed in this paper, and we conclude "template pattern + AR-model" shows the satisfactory performance through the experiment with observed data on Keiyo-Road. For the next stage, we will focus on the operational issues of the proposed method, e.g. prediction under incidental situation, model fitting to seasonal fluctuations, etc.
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